

1
2
3
4 ARIEL ABITTAN,
5 Plaintiff,
6 v.
7
8 LILY CHAO, and others,
9 Defendants.

10 Case No. 20-cv-09340 NC
11
12

**13 ORDER STRIKING PLAINTIFF'S
14 NOTICE, DKT. NO. 144**

15 The Court strikes Plaintiff's "Notice Regarding Amended Complaint" filed earlier
16 today at Dkt. No. 144.

17 First, the Notice violates Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 7(b)(1). "A request for a
18 court order must be made by motion." Here, Plaintiff requests a court order but has
19 attempted to use an incorrect procedure.

20 Second, the premise of Plaintiff's Notice is wrong. Plaintiff states that "Defendants
21 have not filed a response" to the Amended Complaint. Notice, Dkt. No. 144 at 1:20. Yet
22 the response, a Motion to Strike, was filed on June 17. Dkt. No. 143. Plaintiff's response
23 to that motion is due July 1.

24 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

25 Dated: June 29, 2022

26
27
28 
NATHANAEL M. COUSINS
United States Magistrate Judge